University Hospital

## ESWG PAPER CHALLENGE 2020

This year's paper challenge is divided into four different parts. In order to obtain the certificate for participation, at least two has to be completed. All data is given as files at http://familias.name/ESWG/ESWG2020 paperchallenge.zip in addition to some details given directly in the cases. Please fill out all answers in the supplied Excel questionnaire.

## Case 1 - Complex kinship case - An inheritance claim

The first case deals with an inheritance dispute, where a cousin (paternal) to a sole heir of rich woman, whom is recently deceased, claims that she is also the long lost (maternal) half sister. The heir strongly disputes that her paternal cousin (their fathers are full brothers) could also be her maternal half sister. Data for 32 autosomal STR markers is given in the table below.

| Marker | Heir | Cousin |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | 16,16 | 16,17 |
| TH01 | 6,7 | 6,6 |
| D21S11 | 28,29 | $28,30.2$ |
| D18S51 | 17,17 | 16,17 |
| PENTA_E | 7,17 | 7,10 |
| D5S818 | 12,13 | 10,13 |
| D13S317 | 12,12 | 11,12 |
| D7S820 | 8,11 | 9,12 |
| D16S539 | 9,12 | 8,11 |
| CSF1PO | 11,13 | 10,13 |
| PENTA_D | 9,14 | 10,14 |
| VWA | 16,17 | 16,17 |
| D8S1179 | 13,14 | 12,12 |
| TPOX | 9,10 | 9,11 |
| FGA | 23,23 | 23,24 |
| D19S433 | 13,14 | 12,14 |
| D2S1338 | 20,24 | 20,24 |
| D10S1248 | 12,14 | 14,16 |
| D1S1656 | $11,17.3$ | $11,15.3$ |
| D22S1045 | 16,16 | 15,17 |
| D2S441 | 14,14 | 14,15 |
| D12S391 | 17,17 | 17,20 |
| SE33 | $25.2,27.2$ | $25.2,27.2$ |
| D7S1517 | 19,19 | 19,21 |
| D3S1744 | 15,18 | 16,18 |
| D2S1360 | 22,23 | 22,23 |
| D6S474 | 16,16 | 13,17 |
| D4S2366 | 10,11 | 9,11 |
| D8S1132 | 17,18 | 17,19 |
| D5S2500 | 12,15 | 10,15 |
| D21S2055 | 26,34 | $19.1,34$ |
|  |  |  |

a) Plot the pedigrees and discuss what type of markers could be used to solve the case (Not reported in the questionnaire).
b) Compute the LR for the given autosomal markers comparing,

H 1 : The heir and her paternal cousin are maternal half sister.

H2: The heir and her paternal cousin are unrelated on their maternal side.
For b) we can ignore complicating factors such as mutations, silent alleles and linkage.

Data is also available for X chromosomal markers, the data is given in the table below.

| Marker | Heir | Cousin |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DXS10148 | $18,25.1$ | $25.1,25.1$ |
| DXS10135 | 20,23 | 23,27 |
| DXS8378 | 10,11 | 10,11 |
| DXS7132 | 13,13 | 13,13 |
| DXS10079 | 19,22 | 19,22 |
| DXS10074 | 16,16 | 16,16 |
| DXS10103 | 16,18 | 16,17 |
| HPRTB | 13,14 | 13,14 |
| DXS10101 | $25.2,28.2$ | $25.2,31$ |
| DXS10146 | 27,28 | 27,28 |
| DXS10134 | 34,37 | 37,38 |
| DXS7423 | 13,14 | 13,15 |

c) Compute the LR for the X-chromosomal data using the same hypotheses as in a). Mutations and silent alleles can be ignored while linkage and linkage disequilibrium should be accounted for if relevant.
d) Combine the LRs from b) and c) into a total LR. What is your verbal verdict in the case?

## Case 2: Mixture with relatives

The second case involves a blood sample from an abortion, where the results display a mixture of two persons, the mother and her child. The alleged father is sampled as well as mother. In addition, we have access to a single source sample from the unborn child. Data is given in the table below.

| Marker | Mother | Father | Child | Mixture |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | 14,16 | 15,15 | 14,15 | $14,15,16$ |
| TH01 | $9,9.3$ | $9,9.3$ | $9,9.3$ | $9,9.3$ |
| D21S11 | $29,34.2$ | 29,30 | 29,30 | $29,30,34.2$ |
| D18S51 | 14,18 | 14,15 | 14,18 | 14,18 |
| PENTA_E | 10,12 | 5,13 | 12,13 | $10,12,13$ |
| D5S818 | 9,12 | 12,13 | 9,12 | 9,12 |
| D13S317 | 11,12 | 10,12 | 10,11 | $10,11,12$ |
| D7S820 | 9,10 | 8,12 | 8,10 | $8,9,10$ |
| D16S539 | 11,13 | 11,13 | 11,13 | 11,13 |
| CSF1PO | 10,12 | 12,12 | 10,12 | 10,12 |
| PENTA_D | 10,12 | 10,13 | 10,10 | 10,12 |
| VWA | 17,17 | 17,20 | 17,17 | 17,17 |
| D8S1179 | 10,16 | 12,12 | 12,16 | $10,12,16$ |
| TPOX | 8,11 | 8,9 | 8,11 | 8,11 |
| FGA | 25,25 | 20,21 | 21,25 | 21,25 |
| D19S433 | 13,15 | 14,15 | 13,15 | 13,15 |

The hypotheses we will consider is given by,
H 1 : The alleged father is the biological father of the child (either the single source or the mixture).
H 2 : The alleged father is unrelated to the child.
Maternity for the mother can be assumed in both hypotheses. There will be some slight variations when the mixture is considered, see details in the exercises below.

In all computations we will disregard any further complicating factors such as mutations, population substructure etc. Frequency data as well as the genotypes (single source only) are also given as files at http://familias.name/ESWG/ESWG2020 paperchallenge.zip
a) Compute the LR comparing using the single source sample for the child.
b) Now consider the mixture (abortion sample), compute the LR in the same manner. Use the sample for the mother as a known contributor in the mixture (both hypotheses).
c) Consider the mixture again, compute the LR as in b), but disregard the known profile of the mother (both hypotheses).

Case 3: Expanded marker panels - On the effect of linkage
$A$ and $B$ have the same mother, but it is disputed whether they also share the same father. In this case, genotypes for both STRs and SNPs are available, of which many are located on the same chromosome.

DNA profiles, allele frequencies and the genetic locations of the included markers are available for the likelihood ratio calculation (see zip file, link given in the beginning of this document).

Set up hypotheses and calculate the combined LR as well as the combined posterior probability (assuming a flat prior). Provide a verbal statement.
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Case 4: Multiple hypotheses - A quest for the "best" answer
Child1, Child2 and Child3 have the same mother. For legal reasons the paternal relationship between the children needs to be establish. The question is whether all of the children share the same father, or if two of the children share the same father, or if all children have different fathers. DNA data is given below.

| Marker | Child1 | Child2 | Child3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSF1PO | 12,12 | 12,12 | 9,11 |
| D13S317 | 8,12 | 8,12 | 8,12 |
| D16S539 | 11,13 | 11,13 | 11,12 |
| D18S51 | 22,22 | 14,18 | 18,18 |
| D19S433 | 12,14 | $14,15.2$ | $14,15.2$ |
| D21S11 | $29,32.2$ | $29,32.2$ | $29,33.2$ |
| D2S1338 | 17,18 | 18,21 | 17,18 |
| D3S1358 | 16,16 | 15,16 | 15,16 |
| D5S818 | 11,12 | 12,13 | 12,12 |
| D7S820 | 8,8 | 8,8 | 8,12 |
| D8S1179 | 14,14 | 14,14 | 13,14 |
| FGA | 22,22 | 22,22 | 20,22 |
| TH01 | 8,9 | 8,9 | 7,9 |
| TPOX | 8,12 | 8,11 | 11,12 |
| D10S1248 | 15,17 | 14,16 | 14,16 |
| D12S391 | 17,21 | 17,21 | 17,17 |
| D1S1656 | 16,17 | $16.3,17$ | 16,17 |
| D22S1045 | 11,15 | 11,15 | 11,16 |
| D2S441 | 10,14 | 10,14 | 10,14 |
| SE33 | $30.2,33$ | $30.2,32$ | $26.2,30.2$ |

Set up all relevant hypotheses given the above specifications and calculate the combined posterior probability assuming a flat prior. Allele frequencies are given as a file, no population substructure is assumed (i.e. theta=0). NB! mutation model, mutation rates, silent allele frequencies needs to be assigned.

